

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/883,363	06/19/2001	Ken Ogura	OK1.244	1079	
75	90 04/23/2002				
JONES VOLENTINE, L.L.P.			EXAMINER		
Suite 150 12200 Sunrise V	•		CHU, CHRIS C		
Reston, VA 20)191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815	2815	
			DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/883,363	OGURA, KEN	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chris C. Chu	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	TON. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. rs, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>19 June 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)			
3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice of Disposition of Claims	•		1
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 24</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) 1 - 24 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐] accepted or b) ☐ objected to by t	he Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objectio			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) c	isapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are require	_		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1.	uments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	uments have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation * See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	·		n).
a) The translation of the foreign languages			
Attachment(s)	, , ,		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I 	48) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/883,363 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims $1 \sim 16$, drawn to an IC chip, classified in class 257, subclass 666.

II. Claims 17 ~ 24, drawn to a method of fabricating an IC chip, classified in class

438, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions

are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be

used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the

product as claimed can be made by a materially different process such as laser ablation method.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, have acquired a separate status

in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and the search required for Group

II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. If applicant elects the device of Invention I, then the following election of species

applies:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/883,363

Art Unit: 2815

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- i) Species I depicted in Figs. $1A \sim 1K$.
- ii) Species II depicted in Figs. 2A and 2B.
- iii) Species III depicted in Fig. 3A.
- iv) Species IV depicted in Fig. 3B.
- v) Species V depicted in Fig. 4.
- vi) Species VI depicted in Figs. 7A ~ 7K.
- vii) Species VII depicted in Figs. 8A ~ 8D.
- viii) Species VIII depicted in Figs. 9A ~ 9I.
- ix) Species IX depicted in Figs. 10 and 11.
- x) Species X depicted in Figs. $12A \sim 12C$.
- xi) Species XI depicted in Figs. 13A ~ 13C.
- xii) Species XII depicted in Figs. 14A and 14B.
- xiii) Species XIII depicted in Figs. 15A ~ 15C.
- xiv) Species XIV depicted in Figs. 16A ~ 16E.
- xv) Species XV depicted in Figs. 17A and 17B.
- xvi) Species XVI depicted in Figs. 18A ~ 18H.
- xvii) Species XVII depicted in Figs. 19A ~ 19D.
- xviii) Species XVIII depicted in Figs. 20A ~ 20D.
- xix) Species XIX depicted in Figs. 21A ~ 21C.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,363

Art Unit: 2815

- xx) Species XX depicted in Figs. 22A ~ 22D.
- xxi) Species XXI depicted in Figs. 23A ~ 23D.
- xxii) Species XXII depicted in Figs. 24A ~ 24G.
- xxiii) Species XXIII depicted in Figs. 25A ~ 25C.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,363

Art Unit: 2815

and the second

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Chris C. Chu whose telephone number is (703) 305-6194. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (10:30 - 7:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eddie C. Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7382 for regular

communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Chris C. Chu

Examiner

Art Unit 2815

Page 5

c.c. April 18, 2002

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800